(72) Inventors:
• Martin, Philippe
78760 Pontchartrain (FR)

• Laloux, Bernard
78450 Villepreux (FR)
• Merigot, Bertrand
75017 Paris (FR)
• Lefevre, Hervé
75014 Paris (FR)

(74) Representative: Michelet, Alain
Cabinet Harlé et Phélip
21 rue de la Rochefoucauld
75009 Paris (FR)

(54) **Optical fiber wavelength multiplexer and demultiplexer**

(57) The present invention relates to an optical fiber wavelength multiplexing or demultiplexing device comprising :

An optical fiber wavelength multiplexing device comprising :

an array of input fibers (101 to 105) designed for carrying light beams at different wavelengths ($\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$, ..., λn),
an output fiber (161) designed for carrying the whole set of such light beams,
a dispersing system (107) receiving light beams from the input fibers (101 to 105) in an end plane and generating superimposed light beams de-signed for the output fiber (161) in an output plane, an array of converging microlenses (171 to 175) be-ing located in the input plane, whereas a microlens corresponds to each input fiber, wherein the micro-lens array has the same pitch as the input fiber array and produces diverging beams whose respective central axes are parallel and which are directed to a collimating lens (108) which produces parallel col-limated beams whose respective central axes are converging on the dispersing system.

The demultiplexer comprises the same elements, whereas the roles of the fibers and input/output planes are reversed.

FIG.3

Descripti n

This invention relates to optical fiber wavelength multiplexers and demultiplexers.

These devices become mor and more important with the development of optical fiber telecommunications. Indeed, wavelength multiplexing and demultiplexing technologies enable transmission of an increased volume of information in the same optical fiber. Direct optical amplification is now reliable and allows one to amplify a set of channels, at different wavelengths, with a single optical amplifier. It does not require any more to demultiplex the channel wavelengths for amplifying them separately, as it would be the case with electronic amplifiers. Such dense wavelength division multiplexing (D-WDM) is particularly efficient in the 1530 nm-1565 nm window of erbium-doped-fiber amplifier (EDFA).

The operation of a device according to the previous art is illustrated on figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 represents a multiplexer. Input fibers 1 to 5 have their ends located on a plane 6 constituting the input plane of the multiplexer. This multiplexer comprises a dispersing element or grating 7, a collimation optical element 8, a reflector system 9 and produces an output beam 10 collected by an output fiber 61. The optical elements of the multiplexer, the grating 7 and the collimation optical elements 8 as well as the reflector optical system 9 are laid out in such a way that the input beams, spatially separate in the input plane 6, are superimposed at the output point 62 and coupled in the output fiber 61. This arrangement with a grating and a reflector is usually called the Littman-Metcalf configuration.

On Figure 2, each of the input fibers 1 to 5 ends has been represented, together with their optical cores 11, 21, 31, 41, 51, their claddings 12, 22, 32, 42, 52 and their coatings 13, 23, 33, 43, 53. In such a system, the input plane 6 defines, in its geometrical dimension x, the input function $F(\lambda)$ of the multiplexer, represented approximately on figure 2, each of the fibers cutting through an associated elementary passband 14, 24, 34, 44 and 54.

The widths $\Delta\lambda 1, ..., \Delta\lambda 5$ of each of these elementary bands depend on the diameters of the cores 11, 21, 31, 41, 51 of each optical fiber 1 to 5 and are generally small in relation to the distance $d(\lambda 1, \lambda 2), ..., d(\lambda 4, \lambda 5)$ separating the central wavelengths $\lambda 1, ..., \lambda 5$ from the elementary bands, consecutive to the beams provided by each input fiber 1 to 5 and superimposed on the output fiber 61.

We shall designate later on by $\Delta\lambda$ the width of the elementary bands $\Delta\lambda i, ..., \Delta\lambda n$ and by $d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$ the distance between the central wavelengths of two consecutive elementary bands.

Various propositions have already been made in order to increase the $\Delta\lambda/d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$ ratio. We know that this $\Delta\lambda/d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$ ratio = α/δ, where α corresponds to the diameter of the transmitted mode, which is substantially equal to the core diameter of the fiber and where

δ is the distanc between two cores of consecutive fibers. In practic , when the coating is removed, this δ distance is at least equal to the diameter of th cladding.

It has been suggested to reduce the thickness of the claddings 12, 22, 32, 42, 52, which enables reducing δ and hence th distance $d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$ without r ducing the widths of the bands $\Delta\lambda$. However, this lay-out is difficult to control and to implement.

Several other attempts have been made for improving the $\Delta\lambda/d(\lambda i,\lambda i+1)$ ratio. UK patent application N° 2.219.869 proposes to provide the multiplexer with waveguide having a tapered optical field spot size achieved, either by physically tapering the fibers, or by changing the core index at their ends by diffusion. This also is difficult to control and to implement.

D. R. Wisely in an article published in Electronics Letters (14th March 1991, vol. 27, N° 6) proposed to place a microlens array at the end of the fibers. Such an array enhances the relative bandwidth ratio and directs the light beams directly to the diffraction grating. To have these beams illuminating a common area on the grating, each of the microlenses has to be offset relatively to the core of the associated fiber ; the amplitude of this offset depends on the position of the fiber which is possible using a microlens array having a pitch smaller than the pitch of the fiber ends and carefully controlled. In practice, this requires the microlens array to be manufactured to this particular use and the accurate relation between the pitch of the fiber ends and the pitch of the microlens array is difficult to obtain.

The purpose of the invention is to suggest an optical fiber wavelength optical multiplexer-demultiplexer which exhibits a significant improvement of the $\Delta\lambda/d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$ ratio, is easy to manufacture and can be realized with standard components easy to obtain.

It is another purpose of the invention to construct such multiplexing-demultiplexing device in which the elementary passband associated to each fiber is widened and shows front edges towards low frequencies and towards high frequencies which are as steep as possible and in which each transmitted wavelength undergoes the same attenuation. Such an elementary transfer function, ideally rectangular in shape, enables to obtain accurate delimitation of the passband and uniform transmission within this band.

To this end, the invention relates to an optical fiber wavelength multiplexing device comprising :

an array of input fibers designed for carrying light beams at different wavelengths $\lambda 1, \lambda 2, ..., \lambda n$,
an output fiber designed for carrying the whole set of such light beams,
a dispersing system receiving light beams from the input fibers in an input plane and generating superimposed light beams designed for the output fiber in an output plane,
an array of converging microlenses being located in the input plane, whereas a microlens corre-

sponds to each input fiber, wherein the microlens array has the same pitch as the input fib r array and produces diverging beams whose respective central axes are parallel and which ar dir cted to a collimating lens which produces parallel collimated beams whos respectiv central axes are conv rg-ing on the dispersing system.

According to the invention, it is also possible to con-struct a demultiplexing device. The device according to the previous art described above with reference to fig-ures 1 and 2 can also operate in reverse direction, as a demultiplexer. The fiber 61 is then an input fiber carrying a light beam at various wavelengths and the fibers 1 to 5 become thus output fibers, each receiving a beam at a given wavelength, separated spatially from the beams coming out at the other wavelengths. Thus, although it will be mainly described embodied as a multiplexer, the invention can also be applied to such a demultiplexer.

The device according to the invention is then a fiber wavelength demultiplexer comprising an output fiber ar-ray designed for carrying light beams at different wave-lengths $\lambda 1, ..., \lambda n$, an input fiber designed for carrying the whole set of such light beams, a dispersing system receiving the light beam from the input fiber in an end plane and generating spatially separate light beams de-signed for the output fibers in an output plane,
a converging microlens array is located in the output plane, whereas a microlens corresponds to each output fiber.

According to the invention, a collimating lens re-ceives parallel collimated beams whose respective cen-tral axes are diverging from the dispersing system and produces converging beams whose respective central axes are parallel and directed to the microlens array which has the same pitch as the output fiber array.

According to various embodiments each providing its particular advantages, the device of the invention em-bodies the following features :

- the collimating lens is placed at the same distance from both the microlens array and the dispersing system ; this distance being equal to its focal length ;
- a refracting prism is located between the grating and the fibers ;
- the dispersing system comprises a diffraction grating ;
- the diffraction grating is used in a Littrow configuration ;
- the diffraction grating is used in a Littman-Metcal! configuration ;
- a retroreflecting dihedral is located after the dis-persing system ;
- the optical fibers exhibit advantageously a core of approximately 10 μm, a cladding of approximately 125 μm and a coating of approximately 250 μm, and the microlenses have a focal length of approximate-

ly 700 μm and a diameter of 250 μm approximately ;
- the microlenses ar made with a microlens array with index gradient ;
- the wavelengths are within th amplification rang of erbium, between 1530 and 1565 nm ;
- a filter is located on each channel, in order to flatten the associated elementary passbands ;
- a Fabry-Perot filter is located in a superimposition zone of the channels and flattens all the elementary passbands at the same time.

The invention will be described in detail with refer-ence to the appended drawings, in which :

- figure 1 is a representation of the optical diagram of a multiplexer of the prior art ;
- figure 2 is a diagrammatic representation showing the input function of the multiplexer of figure 1, in relation to the cross section of the input fibers ;
- figure 3 is a representation of a first embodiment of the invention ;
- figure 4 is an enlarged view of a part of the repre-sentation of figure 3 ;
- figure 5 is a diagrammatic representation showing the input function of the multiplexer of figure 3, in relation to the cross section of the input fibers ;
- figure 6 is a representation of a second embodiment of the invention ;
- figure 7 is a top view of the optical diagram of the device according to an improved embodiment of the invention with a refractive prism ;
- figure 8 is a side view of the optical diagram of the device according to a first improved embodiment of the invention with a polarization splitter ;
- figure 9 is a side view of the optical diagram of the device according to a second improved embodi-ment of the invention with a polarization splitter ;
- figure 10 is a representation of the adjunction effect of attenuation filters.

The operation of the device according to the inven-tion is thus illustrated by figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 rep-resents a multiplexer. Input fibers 101 to 105 have their ends located on an end plane 206. This multiplexer com-prises a dispersing element or grating 107 in a Littrow configuration and a collimating lens or optical element 108. It produces an output beam 110 collected by an output fiber 161. The optical elements of the multiplexer, the grating 107 and the collimating lens or optical ele-ment 108 are laid out in such a way that the input beams, spatially separated in the input plane 106, are superim-posed at the output point 162 and coupled in the output fiber 161. The light rays represented approximately show the light path between the input fibers 102 and 105 and the output fiber 161 ; whereas the corresponding beams has respectively a wavelength $\lambda 1$ and $\lambda 5$.

Figure 4 is an enlarged partial view, each of the fib-ers 101 to 105 has been represented with their optical

cores 111, 121, 131, 141, 151, their claddings 112, 122, 132, 142, 152 and their coatings 113, 123, 133, 143, 153. The ends of th fibers are in an end plane 206 rep-r sent d by th axis x1. In the input plane 106 repr - sented by the axis x, ar placed microlenses 163, 171, 172, 174, 175 equal in number to the input and output fibers, whereas each end 162 and 181 to 185 of the fib-ers lies at the focal point of the corresponding microlens 163 and 171 to 175. The optical axis (196, 191...195) of each microlens 163, 171..., 175 is the same as the op-tical axis of the corresponding fiber end. The microlens-es 163, 171..., 175 thus form a microlens array that had the same pitch as the fibers ends 162, 181..., 185 array. The distance between each of the fibers ends 162, 181..., 185 to the corresponding microlenses 163, 171..., 175 is advantageously approximately equal to the focal length of the microlenses.

The distances between the microlenses 163... 174, 175 and the collimating lens 108 on one hand and the distance between this collimating lens 108 and the re-flector system 109 are identical and equal to the focal length f of the collimating lens 108. The spacing be-tween the axes of two consecutive input microlenses 171 to 175 is equal to the spacing between the axes of two consecutive fibers 101 to 105. In practice, it is inter-esting and possible that this spacing is greater than the diameter of the coatings 113, 123, 133, 143, 153. This enables simple positioning of the ends of the fibers, with-out curving, whether the coating at the end is removed or maintained.

As a result, the beams emerging from any of the fibers 101..., 105 collected by the microlenses 171..., 175 are directed by the collimating lens 108 to the same area of the reflector system 109 where they are super-posed. On the way back, after reflection, they are cou-pled to the same fiber 161.

The light beams between the fiber ends 181..., 185 and the microlenses 171..., 175 are diverging and their central axes are parallel. Since the mode-width is about 10 wavelengths, the divergence of the beams, due to the diffraction, is 1/10 rd. Between the microlenses and the collimating lens 108, they are still slightly diverging beams and their respective central axes are parallel one to another; the beam waist has a width of about 100 wavelengths, so that the divergence is 1/100 rd. Be-tween the collimating lens 108 and the dispersing sys-tem 107, they are parallel collimated beams and their respective central axes are converging on the dispers-ing system 107; the beam waist has a width of about 1000 wavelengths and the corresponding divergence of 1/1000 rd can be neglected.

In this configuration, the best superposition of the beams on the grating 107 is obtained when the distance from this grating 107 to the collimating lens 108 is iden-tical to the distance between the microlenses 163, 171..., 175 and the lens 108 and both are equal to f, the focal length of the collimating lens 108.

Furthermore, in such a system, the input plane de-termines, in its geometrical size x, the input function F (λ) of the multiplex r which is repres nted approximate-ly on figure 5, whereas each fiber/microlens assembly cuts through an associated lementary passband 114, 124, 134, 144 and 154.

Indeed, the apparent dimension of th core of fibers 101 to 105 as seen by the dispersion device 107 is that of the zone of each microlens lit by the associated fiber. The diameter of this zone is thus much greater than the actual mode diameter of the fiber, which leads to signif-icant widening of the elementary bands $\Delta\lambda1$, $\Delta\lambda2$, $\Delta\lambda3$, $\Delta\lambda4$, $\Delta\lambda5$.

The widths $\Delta\lambda1$, ..., $\Delta\lambda5$ of each elementary band depending on the apparent diameter of the cores 111, 121, 131, 141, 151 of each optical fiber 101 to 105 are increased with respect to the corresponding values of fiber multiplexer without collecting microlenses, and this is obtained without changing the distances $d(\lambda1, \lambda2)$ separating the central wavelengths $\lambda1$, ..., $\lambda5$ of the beam provided by each input fiber 101 to 105 and su-perimposed on the output fiber 161. Thus, we obtain a larger $\Delta\lambda/d(\lambda i, \lambda i+1)$.

The microlenses 163 and 171 to 175 are advanta-geously planar microlenses with index gradient, con-structed on a single common substrate. Such lenses are marketed by the NSG AMERICA, INC. which calls them "Planar Microlens Array (PML)". Thus, we obtain partic-ularly satisfactory results which we may assume as due to the fact that the relative positioning of these lenses is particularly rigid and stable, that their overall adjustment is therefore simplified and that these lenses exhibit very similar optical properties in relation to one another, whereas their manufacturing process ensures very good reproducibility.

Figure 5 shows diagrammatically the input spectral bands with steep front edge functions, which is a dia-grammatic representation enabling very simple expla-nation of the invention. It is well-known that the shapes of these passbands are in reality quite close to gaussian curves. The light signals used in telecommunication systems are generally

laser beams whose spectral widths are narrow with respect to the elementary passbands of the multiplexer $\Delta\lambda1$, ..., $\Delta\lambda5$. However, these wavelengths are liable to vary due to instabilities, for instance temperature varia-tions. The widening of the elementary passbands $\Delta\lambda1$, ..., $\Delta\lambda5$ obtained according to the invention ena-bles to improve the tolerance of the telecommunication systems in relation to these variations.

Thus, whatever the wavelengths of the beams ad-dressed by the input fibers 101 to 105, providing each of them is comprised within the passband of the fiber which carries it, the said wavelengths are addressed on the fiber 161 and coupled to them with a constant atten-uation.

So far, we have described a multiplexer. By revers-ing the operation, we can obtain a demultiplexer which will provide comparable advantages as regards the tol-

erances of the wavelengths processed. Th fiber 161 thus becomes an input fiber carrying th multipl xed beam, at the various wavelengths. After demultiplexing, each of the output fibers 101 to 105 is coupled to th beam corresponding to a particular wavelength.

The wavelengths aff cted are advantageously thos produced by the erbium over the band ranging from 1530 to 1565 nm.

The optical fibers have advantageously a core of 10 μm diameter, a cladding of 125 μm diameter and a coating of 250 μm diameter.

They are advantageously positioned, at their ends, in relation to one another, on silicon substrates in which V-shaped grooves have been engraved. Each of these grooves accommodates a fiber which is thus positioned accurately. They form a fiber array. The microlenses 163, 171 to 175 have advantageously a focal distance of approx. 500 μm - 1 mm and a diameter of 250 μm. They form a microlens array.

These microlenses 163 and 171 to 175 having themselves accurate, regular and stable dimensions, these microlenses and the ends of the fibers placed in grooves can then be easily aligned. As we have explained it previously, the microlense array and the fiber end array have the same pitch, so that it is possible to fix it to a standard value which makes it possible to get those components more easily, to precisely control the equality of the pitches and the regularity of the spacing of the fibers and of the microlenses. This common pitch is advantageously equal to 250 μm.

These microlenses 163, 171 to 175 are advantageously constructed in the form of a planar microlens array with index gradient.

The previous description refers to a Littrow configuration of the grating. However, a Littman-Metcalf configuration is also possible. It is represented on figure 6 on which the same reference has been used to designate the same components as on figure 3. In such a Littman-Metcalf configuration, a reflector system 109 is implemented which receives the light beams from the grating 107 and redirects them back to it.

The reflector system 109 is an adjustment element whose orientation enables the centering of the light beams with respect to the wavelengths considered on the elementary passbands. The reflector system 109 is advantageously a dihedral, composed of two perpendicular planar mirrors and whose edge is perpendicular to the lines of the grating (the plane of figure 6 is the dispersion plane and it is itself perpendicular to the lines of the grating and hence parallel to the edge of the dihedral).

When using such a reflector system 109, the light beam is twice dispersed by the grating 107 and the output and input planes are superimposed. In certain particular applications, the reflector system 109 can be replaced with an optical system making the diffracted light beam converging on an output fiber whose end is placed at its focal point.

In this Littman-Metcalf configuration, the best superposition of the beams is obtained when the optical distance of the reflector 109 to the collimating lens 108 is identical to the distanc between the microl ns s 163, 171..., 175 and the collimating lens 108 and when both distances are qual to f, the focal lens of the collimating lens 108.

When the spacings between the ends of fibers 181, 182, ..., 185 are equal, which in practice constitutes much simpler an embodiment than the determination of various spacings, the spacing between the wavelengths d(λ1, λ2), ..., d(λ4, λ5) is not perfectly linear due to the dispersion law of the grating 7.

This non-linearity can be compensated for by the implementation of a refractive prism 200 (figure 7) between the microlens array and the grating. This prism 200 generates an angular deviation of the light beam according to refraction laws. These laws are also non-linear, but since this non-linearity is set in the opposite direction to that introduced by the dispersion laws of the grating 107, the total non-linearity is nulled out. This can be set also to suppress non-linearity in frequency (inverse of wavelength).

Another detrimental effect liable to be introduced by the grating 107 is a dependence in relation to polarization.

When the transmitted power handling required imposes to break free from this dependence, it is possible to introduce a polarization splitter 201 followed by a plate λ/2 202 on one of the beams between the microlens array and the grating 107, whereby the reflector 109 is a dihedral with a edge perpendicular to the grating 107 lines. This is represented on figures 7 and 8.

The polarization splitter 201 separates an incident beam 210 into a first and a second parallel secondary beams 211 and 212, with light linearly polarized along orthogonal directions. The plate λ/2 202 is located on the path of the first secondary beam 211.

The first secondary beam 211 has its polarization direction parallel to the grating 107 lines, whereas the second secondary beam 212 has its polarization direction perpendicular to these lines. The plate 202 rotates the parallel polarization of the first secondary beam 211 in order to bring it into perpendicular polarization. The first secondary beam 211 thus obtained and the second secondary beam 212 will both drive the grating 107 with linear polarization perpendicular to the lines. Thus, a lot of energy is saved, since the losses generated by diffraction on the grating 107 are reduced when this perpendicular polarization is used.

The collimating lens 108 can be placed before the polarization splitter 201 as represented of figure 8, but it can also be placed after this polarization splitter as represented on figure 9. In this last case, the reflector 109 is a simple mirror.

In order to bring each elementary passband 704 even closer to rectangular shape, it is possible to place an additional filter, acting on each of them. The addition-

al filtering is cent red on the same wavelength λi as the passband and slightly attenuat s its peak. Thus, th passband is flattened.

This filt ring can be perform d individually for each wavelength. In the case of a multiplexer, a wavelength filter is th n interposed on each channel between each input fiber and th multiplexer.

This filtering can also be performed by a single filter, for instance a Fabry-Perot filter with low selectivity (or Fizeau filter), determined in such a way that its attenuation peaks are matched to that of the central wavelengths of the elementary bands of the multiplexer. A Fizeau filter is particularly interesting since its response is periodic in frequency, and the usual channel spacing used in DWDM systems is also periodic in frequency. This single filter can be accommodated in a superimposition region of the channels, either in the cavity of the multiplexer, in the superimposition region of the light beams, regardless of their wavelengths, between the grating 107 and the mirror 109, or in the case of the multiplexer, in front of the output fiber.

Figure 10a is a representation of an elementary passband 701 of the multiplexer without a filter, its peak 704 is centered on λi.

Figure 10b is a representation of the passband 702 of a filter, its attenuation reaches a peak at 705 centered on λi and figure 10c represents the passband 703 resulting from the implementation of the additional filter, whereas the maximum transmission region 706 is flattened.

The description has been made with reference to figures representing five input fibers. This is a simple illustrative example, whereas a much greater number of fibers can be used, with the corresponding number of multiplexed or demultiplexed wavelengths, thanks to a single device complying with the invention.

Claims

1. An optical fiber wavelength multiplexing device comprising :

   an array of input fibers (101 to 105) designed for carrying light beams at different wavelengths ($\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$, ..., λn),
   an output fiber (161) designed for carrying the whole set of such light beams,
   a dispersing system (107) receiving light beams from the input fibers (101 to 105) in an end plane and generating superimposed light beams designed for the output fiber (161) in an output plane,
   an array of converging microlenses (171 to 175) being located in the input plane, whereas a microlens corresponds to each input fiber, wherein the microlens array has the same pitch as the input fiber array and produces diverging

beams whose respective central axes are parall l and which are directed to a collimating l ns (108) which produces parallel collimated beams whos respectiv central axes are converging on th dispersing system.

2. An optical fiber wavelength demultiplexing device comprising :

   an array of output fibers designed for carrying light beams at different wavelengths ($\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$, ..., λn),
   an input fiber designed for carrying the whole set of such light beams,
   a dispersing system receiving the light beam from the input fiber in an end plane and generating spatially separate light beams designed for the output fibers in an output plane,
   an array converging microlens located in the output plane, whereas a microlens corresponds to each output fiber, wherein a collimating lens (108) receives parallel collimated beams whose respective central axes are diverging from the dispersing system and produces converging beams whose respective central axes are parallel and directed to the microlenses array which has the same pitch as the output fiber array.

3. A device according to any of claims 1 and 2, characterized in that the collimating lens (108) is placed at the same distance from the microlens array and from the dispersing system, and that this distance is equal to its focal length.

4. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 3, characterized in that it contains a refracting prism (200) located between the dispersing system and the fiber array.

5. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 4, characterized in that the dispersing system (107) comprises a diffraction grating.

6. A device according to claim 5, characterized in that the diffraction grating (107) is used in a Littrow configuration.

7. A device according to claim 5, characterized in that the diffraction grating (107) is used in a Littman-Metcalf configuration.

8. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 7 characterized in that it comprises a polarization splitter (201) between the microlens array and the grating.

9. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 8, characterized in that it comprises a retroreflecting dihe-

· dral located after the grating (107).

10. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 9, characterized in that the optical fib rs (161, 101 à 105) xhibit advantageously a cor of approx. 10 µm, a cladding of approx. 125 µm and a coating of approx. 250 µm, and the lenses (163, 171 to 175) have a focal length of approx. 700 µm and a diameter of 250 µm approx.

11. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 10, characterized in that the array of lenses (163, 171 to 175) uses index gradient lenses.

12. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 11, characterized in that the wavelengths (λ_1, ...,λ_n) lie within the amplification range of erbium, between 1530 and 1565 nm.

13. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 12, characterized in that it contains a filter on each channel, in order to flatten the associated elementary passband.

14. A device according to any of the claims 1 to 13, characterized in that it contains a Fabry-Perot filter located in a superimposition zone of the channels and flattening all the elementary passbands.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

FIG.1   PRIOR ART

FIG.2   PRIOR ART

FIG.6

FIG.5

FIG.4

FIG.3

FIG.7

FIG.8

FIG.9

FIG.10

European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 98 40 0364

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
X	WISELY D R: "32 CHANNEL WDM MULTIPLEXER WITH 1NM CHANNEL SPACING AND 0.7NM BANDWIDTH" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, vol. 27, no. 6, 14 March 1991, pages 520-521, XP000225094 * the whole document *	1,2,5,12	G02B6/293 G02B6/34
A	* idem *	10	
A	GB 2 219 869 A (BRITISH TELECOMM) 20 December 1989 * figure 1 * * page 1-3 *	1,2,5,10	
A	EP 0 067 972 A (LICENTIA GMBH) 29 December 1982 * figures 1-3 * * page 3, line 4 - line 30 * * page 4, line 1 - line 31 * * page 5, line 1 *	1,2,5	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 011, no. 276 (P-613), 8 September 1987 & JP 62 075406 A (FUJITSU LTD), 7 April 1987, * abstract *	1,2,4,5,9	G02B
A	RAYMOND T D ET AL: "WIDELY TUNABLE SINGLE-LONGITUDINAL-MODE PULSED DYE LASER" OPTICS LETTERS, vol. 14, no. 20, 15 October 1989, pages 1116-1118, XP000080817 * page 116, column 1 - column 2; figure 1 *	1,5,7	

-/--

The present search report has been drawn up for all claims

Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner
THE HAGUE	26 May 1998	Mathyssek, K

CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS

X : particularly relevant if taken alone
Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category
A : technological background
O : non-written disclosure
P : intermediate document

T : theory or principle underlying the invention
E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date
D : document cited in the application
L : document cited for other reasons

& : member of the same patent family, corresponding document

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2"></td><td>European Patent Office</td><td>EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT</td><td colspan="2">Application Number
EP 98 40 0364</td></tr>
</table>

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
A	CHAMBERLIN G R ET AL: "DESIGNS FOR HIGH CHANNEL DENSITY SINGLE-MODE WAVELENGTH-DIVISION-MULTIPLEXERS" PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE, vol. 839, 17 August 1987, pages 60-66, XP000603504 * page 60 - page 61 *	1,5,10	
A	B.D.METCALF ET.AL.: "High-capacity wavelength demultiplexer with a large-diameter GRIN rod lens" APPLIED OPTICS., vol. 21, no. 5, March 1982, NEW YORK US, pages 794-796, XP002046290 * the whole document *	1,2,11	
A	B.HILLERICH ET.AL.: "Wide passband grating multiplexer for multimode fibers" JOURNAL OF LIGHTWAVE TECHNOLOGY., vol. 3, no. 3, June 1985, NEW YORK US, pages 590-594, XP002046291 * the whole document *	1,2,5,6	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)
A	EP 0 417 709 A (FUJITSU LTD ;ADVANTEST CORP (JP)) 20 March 1991 * column 1, line 3 - line 55 * * column 2, line 1 - line 2 * * column 4, line 53 - line 58 * * column 5, line 1 - line 29 * * column 10, line 12 - line 22 * * figures *	1,8	
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 096, no. 007, 31 July 1996 & JP 08 075948 A (TOSHIBA CORP), 22 March 1996, * abstract *	1,13	

-/--

The present search report has been drawn up for all claims

Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner
THE HAGUE	26 May 1998	Mathyssek, K

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

EP 0 859 249 A1

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
A	EP 0 682 278 A (BRITISH TELECOMM) 15 November 1995 * the whole document *	1,13,14	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)

The present search report has been drawn up for all claims

Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner
THE HAGUE	26 May 1998	Mathyssek, K